

Factors Influencing Magnetic Reconnection at the Magnetopause

Brigid Margaret Anne Cooling

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)
of the University of London

**Queen Mary, University of London
2003**

Abstract

We used existing models for magnetosheath flow, density and magnetic field strength to construct a model which predicts whether (steady-state) reconnection may occur for given input solar wind conditions. The subsequent motion of reconnected flux tubes along the magnetopause and into the magnetotail is determined. Results are shown for a range of cases. The model has applications for hypothesis testing, predicting likely sites for the location of reconnection events on the dayside or near-nightside magnetopause, IMF B_Y effects and so forth. In particular, the rôle of sub-Alfvénic flow and the orientation of the reconnection line in allowing steady-state reconnection poleward of the cusps for northward IMF is highlighted.

Next, we carried out a broad survey of magnetosheath parameters using Geotail and Wind data. We compared our results with the predictions of existing numerical models and found general agreement, though lower values, for velocity and number density. We analysed our data for a range of effects and found some evidence for a dawn-dusk effect for velocity and density ratios, and an Alfvén Mach number influence on the magnetic field. We also found evidence of an aberrated stagnation area in the sub-solar region.

We constructed empirical models for the magnetosheath parameters and compared predictions for the extent of sub-Alfvénic flow using the existing and our empirical models. We found that there are solar wind conditions under which the existing models will predict sub-Alfvénic flows extending poleward and tailward of the cusps. The empirical models predict a wider range of conditions, including higher velocities, at which extensive sub-Alfvénic flow may be present.

Our data samples are in the ecliptic plane. If we further assume cylindrical symmetry, then we may broaden our conclusions to say that a range of solar wind conditions exists in which the existing numerical models predict steady-state reconnection poleward of the cusps. Our empirical models predict a wider range of conditions under which such reconnection may occur.

Contents

1	Introduction	13
1.1	Interaction between the solar wind and the Earth	13
1.2	Plasma	16
1.3	Discontinuities	18
1.4	Near-Earth Environment	21
1.5	Discontinuities and the near-Earth environment	22
1.6	Overview of thesis	24
2	Magnetic reconnection at the magnetopause	26
2.1	Entry of solar wind plasma to the magnetosphere	26
2.2	Transport mechanisms for solar wind plasma entry to the magnetosphere	30
2.3	Magnetic reconnection	38
2.4	Onset of magnetic reconnection at the magnetopause	45
2.5	Magnetic reconnection under northward IMF	48
2.6	Open flux tube motion	49
2.7	Summary	51
3	Model Development	52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Scheme	52
3.3	Stress Balance	53
3.4	Flux Tube Motion	56
3.5	Coordinate System	59
3.6	Magnetic Field Models	59
3.7	Reconnection Conditions	66
3.8	Steady-state reconnection	68
4	Model Results & Discussion	70
4.1	Results	70
4.2	Discussion	77

4.3	Location of Initial Reconnection	80
4.4	Summary	82
5	The Magnetosheath	84
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Features of the magnetosheath	84
5.3	Models of the magnetosheath	87
5.4	Surveys of the magnetosheath	92
5.5	Linked Geotail - Wind experiments	95
6	Magnetosheath Parameter Survey	97
6.1	Introduction	97
6.2	Acknowledgements for Data Sources	97
6.3	Survey of Magnetosheath Using Geotail and Wind data	98
6.4	Intervals	105
6.5	Comparison of Wind data with IMP8 data	113
7	Results	115
7.1	General presentation of results	115
7.2	Overview Results	116
7.3	Investigation 1 - Comparison of Results with S66	116
7.4	Investigation 2 - Analysis of Survey Results	131
7.5	Models	143
7.6	Sub-Alfvénic Flow	152
7.7	Summary	153
8	Conclusions and Further Work	158
8.1	Conclusions	158
8.2	Further Work	160
A	Acronyms, Symbols, Subscripts	162
A.1	Acronyms and Abbreviations	162
A.2	Symbols	163
A.3	Subscripts and Superscripts	164
B	Coordinates	166
C	Catalogue	168
C.1	Shears	169
C.2	Currents	172
C.3	Overplotting of shears with current contours	175

C.4 Alfvén speeds	176
D Intervals	177
E Statistics	179
E.1 Statistics	179
E.2 Statistical Background to Proposed Empirical Models	180
Bibliography	182
Epilogue	204

List of Figures

1.1	Discontinuities	18
1.2	Near-Earth environment	20
1.3	Parker spiral	22
2.1	Sources of magnetospheric plasma	29
2.2	Magnetosheath and ionospheric plasmas in the cusp/cleft region.	29
2.3	Tracer ions in the boundary layer	30
2.4	Axford & Hines and Dungey models	31
2.5	Magnetic reconnection: Motion of reconnected flux tube	35
2.6	Magnetic reconnection: Sweet and Parker model	40
2.7	Magnetic reconnection: Priest and Forbes model	41
2.8	FTEs: Bipolar signature	44
3.1	Flow diagram of model of flux tube motion	54
3.2	Reconnected flux tubes	57
3.3	Velocity space diagram following reconnection at an arbitrary point.	58
3.4	Draped magnetosheath field resulting from pure southward IMF	62
3.5	Comparison of draping patterns	63
3.6	Geomagnetic field at the magnetopause boundary-XY projection	65
3.7	Geomagnetic field at the magnetopause boundary-YZ projection	65
3.8	Contours of shear angle for southward Parker spiral	68
4.1	Motion of flux tubes under southward IMF	71
4.2	Motion of flux tubes under northward IMF	72
4.3	Motion of flux tubes under northward IMF with reduced density	73
4.4	Motion of flux tubes for southward IMF with B_Y component	74
4.5	Flux tube motion for ‘away’ sector Parker spiral with northward IMF	76
4.6	Flux tube motion for ‘toward’ sector Parker spiral with northward IMF	76
4.7	Locations for steady-state reconnection for northward ‘away’ Parker spiral at full density	77
4.8	Showing regions where magnetosheath flow speed is sub-Alfvénic for given IMF	78

4.9	Shear angle contours for northward ‘away’ IMF	80
4.10	Current contours for northward ‘away’ IMF	80
4.11	Overplot of sheath flow to Alfvén velocity q component ratio	81
4.12	Enlargement of overlayed region	81
4.13	Showing location for steady-state reconnection under northward IMF with reduced density	82
6.1	Geotail orbit 1997	99
6.2	Wind orbit 1997	102
6.3	Linking of Geotail and Wind data	104
6.4	Ion flux correlation on June 22 - 23 1997	106
6.5	Geotail data for 5th and 6th March 1997	107
6.6	SSC Locator 4-day Geotail orbit plot	110
6.7	DARTS data plots	111
6.8	Ion temperature March 4th 1997 19:09 to 19:20	112
6.9	A rejected interval	112
6.10	Comparison of Wind with IMP8: Location	113
6.11	Comparison of Wind with IMP8: Data	114
7.1	Data survey: Locations and counts	117
7.2	Data survey: Overview results for velocity and number density	118
7.3	Data survey: Overview results for ion flux and magnetic field	119
7.4	Velocity ratio at Geotail	120
7.5	Spreiter et al. 1966: Magnetosheath velocity ratio	121
7.6	Comparison of S66 and survey data: Velocity ratio boxplot	122
7.7	Comparison of velocity ratio with S66: Variation with location	123
7.8	Density ratio at Geotail	124
7.9	Spreiter et al. 1966: Magnetosheath number density ratio	124
7.10	Comparison of S66 and survey data: Density ratio boxplot	125
7.11	Comparison of density ratio with S66: Variation with location	126
7.12	Mass (ion) flux ratio at Geotail	127
7.13	Spreiter et al. 1966: Magnetosheath mass flux ratio	127
7.14	Comparison of S66 and survey data: Mass flux ratio boxplot	128
7.15	Comparison of mass flux ratio with S66: Variation with location	128
7.16	Magnetic field intensity ratio at Geotail	129
7.17	Comparison of Geotail magnetic field with KF94	130
7.18	Seasonal effects	133
7.19	Monthly effects	134
7.20	Spread of Y values in Geotail data by month	135

7.21	Sonic Mach number effects	136
7.22	Sonic Mach number spread of values	137
7.23	Alfvén Mach number effects	138
7.24	Plasma beta effects	139
7.25	Sign of B_Z effects	140
7.26	Sign of Y (dawn-dusk) effects	141
7.27	Comparing actual survey data for density ratio with predictions from S66 and new model	144
7.28	Comparing S66 predictions with proposed new model	145
7.29	Comparing actual survey data for magnetic field intensity with predictions from KF94 and new model	147
7.30	Predicted magnetic field contours using new model	148
7.31	Comparing actual survey data for velocity ratio with predictions from S66 and new model	150
7.32	Comparison between S66 contours and new model contours for velocity ratio	151
7.33	Demonstrating existence of aberrated stagnation point	152
7.34	Alfvén speed from our survey data	154
7.35	Alfvén Mach number from our survey data	154
7.36	Locations of sub-Alfvénic flow: 1	155
7.37	Locations of sub-Alfvénic flow: 2	156
B.1	Paraboloidal coordinates	167
C.1	Catalogue of shears +ve B_X	169
C.2	Catalogue of shears zero B_X	170
C.3	Catalogue of shears -ve B_X	171
C.4	Catalogue of magnetopause current strength +ve B_X	172
C.5	Catalogue of magnetopause current strength zero B_X	173
C.6	Catalogue of magnetopause current strength -ve B_X	174
C.7	Catalogue of overplotted shears with current contours -ve B_X	175
C.8	Catalogue of Alfvén speeds at the magnetopause -ve B_X	176
E.1	Density ratio model residual plots	181
Aurora	204

List of Tables

1.1	Discontinuities in plasmas	19
1.2	Comparison of plasma parameters in various regimes	24
6.1	LEP experiment: Measured and derived paramters	101
7.1	Summary of factors and effects	142
D.1	List of useable intervals	178